Let G = (V, E) be a simple graph with n = n(G).

o(G) = number of odd components of G (that is, components with an odd number of vertices)

—  $o(G) \equiv n \pmod{2}$  (i.e., o(G) and n(G) are either both even or both odd).

- For  $S \subseteq V$ so  $o(G-S) \equiv n(G-S) = n - |S| \pmod{2},$   $|S| + o(G-S) \equiv n(G) \pmod{2}.$ (1)

**Theorem 3.3.3:** (Tutte's 1-Factor Theorem) Let G be a graph. Then G has a 1-factor if and only if  $\forall S \subset V : \quad o(G-S) \leq |S|$  (2)

(this is called **Tutte's condition** for G).

*Proof.* Suppose that G has a perfect matching M, and let  $S \subset V$ . No odd component of G - S has a perfect matching, so for every odd component H of G - S, there must exist some  $v \in V(H)$  such that  $w = \operatorname{sp}_M(v) \notin V(H)$ . The vertex w cannot belong to any other component of G - S, hence must belong to S. Putting w = f(H), we have a function

 $f: \{ \text{odd components of } G - S \} \rightarrow S$ 

that is one-to-one. In particular,  $o(G - S) \leq |S|$ . So we have shown that Tutte's condition is necessary for the existence of a perfect matching.

We now want to show that if G satisfies Tutte's condition, then it has a perfect matching. Note first that putting  $S = \emptyset$  in (2) gives  $o(G - S) = o(G) \le |S| = 0$ , so n(G) is even by (1).

**Claim 1:** Adding an edge preserves Tutte's condition. That is, if  $e \in E(H)$  and H - e satisfies Tutte's condition then so does H.

To prove this, suppose that Tutte's condition holds for H - e. Let  $S \subseteq V(H)$ . If e has an endpoint in S, then H - S = H - e - S, so  $o(H - S) = o(H - e - S) \leq |S|$ . Otherwise, let J, J' be the (possibly equal) components of H - e - S containing the endpoints of e. Then

$$p(H-S) = \begin{cases} o(H-e-S) & \text{if } J = J', \\ o(H-e-S) & \text{if } J \neq J' \text{ are both even,} \\ o(H-e-S) & \text{if } J \text{ is even, } J' \text{ is odd,} \\ o(H-e-S) - 2 & \text{if } J \neq J' \text{ are both odd.} \end{cases}$$

In all cases,  $o(H - S) \le o(H - e - S) \le |S|$ , proving Claim 1.

Thus, if Tutte's condition does not suffice for the existence of a 1-factor, we can choose a maximal counterexample G: that is, a simple graph such that

- *G* satisfies Tutte's condition;
- *G* has no 1-factor; and
- adding any single missing edge to G produces a graph with a 1-factor.

Claim 2: These conditions imply a contradiction.

The idea of the proof is to look at the graph G - U, where

$$U = \{ v \in V \mid N(v) = V - \{ v \} = \{ v \in V \mid d_G(v) = n - 1 \}.$$

<u>Case 1:</u> G - U is a disjoint union of cliques. For example, it might look like this:



Here U consists of the vertices colored in gray. I've only drawn the edges of G-U; since  $N(u) = V - \{u\}$  for  $u \in U$ , putting all the other edges in would make the picture incomprehensible. In this example, o(G-U) = 4 (two 1-cliques (isolated vertices), one 3-clique and one 7-clique), and |U| = 8 (by Tutte's condition and (1), this has the same parity as, and is greater than or equal to, o(G-U)).

A maximum matching M on G - U (the red edges in the figure below) saturates all but o(G - U) vertices every vertex of every even clique, and all vertices but one from each odd clique.



To enlarge this to a perfect matching M' of G, we first match each M-unsaturated vertex in G - U to a vertex in U (the green edges).



At this point, the number of unmatched vertices is |U| - o(G - U). All these vertices belong to U, hence are pairwise adjacent. There is an even number of them (since |U| and o(G - U) have the same parity) so we can complete the perfect matching (the blue edges).



<u>Case 2:</u> G - U is not a disjoint union of cliques.

Let H be a component of G - U which is not a clique. It must have at least three vertices, and two of those vertices must be at distance 2. That is, x and z are not adjacent, but have a common neighbor y. Also, there is a vertex  $w \in V(G - U)$  such that  $wy \notin E$  (if no such vertex existed, then  $y \in U$  by definition of U, which is not the case). (Note: w may or may not belong to H.) Again, the vertices of U are colored gray, and all edges with one or both endpoints in U are omitted.



By the choice of G, adding a single edge to G produces a graph with a perfect matching. Accordingly, let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be matchings of G + xz and G + wy respectively, as shown below.



The dashed edges wy and xz do not belong to G; all other edges do. Let  $F = M_1 \triangle M_2$ ; then  $xz, wy \in F$ . By Lemma 3.1.9, every component of F is a path or an even cycle. Actually, each component that is a path must be an isolated vertex, otherwise its endpoints would not be saturated by both  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . So the component C that contains xz is an even cycle.

CASE 2A:  $yw \notin C$  (not the case of the example). Then

$$M_1 \triangle C = (M_2 \cap E(C)) \cup (M_1 - E(C))$$

is a perfect matching that contains neither xz nor wy, so it is a perfect matching of G.

CASE 2B:  $yw \in C$ . Label the vertices of C as  $w, y, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_p, z, x, b_1, b_2, b_q$ . (It is possible that x and z are switched, but that case is equivalent because, we have made no distinction between these vertices—they can be interchanged.) Note also that the numbers p and q are both odd (in the example, p = 7 and q = 3). This is because the path  $y, a_1, \ldots, a_p, z$  has the same number of edges in  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ , hence has an even number of edges and an odd number of vertices. Meanwhile, |V(C)| = 4 + p + q is even, so p and q have the same parity.

Now, the edge set

 $M^* = \{a_1a_2, \dots, a_{p-2}a_{p-1}, a_pz, yx, b_1b_2, \dots, b_{q-2}b_{q-1}, b_qw\} \subset E$ 

(shown in green below) is a perfect matching on V(C). Since  $M_1 - E(C)$  (shown in yellow) is a perfect matching on V - V(C), it follows that  $(M_1 - E(C)) \cup M^*$  is a perfect matching of G, as desired.

