# Greedy Algorithms

- General principle of greedy algorithm
- Activity-selection problem
  - Optimal substructure
  - Recursive solution
  - Greedy-choice property
  - Recursive algorithm
- Minimum spanning trees
  - Generic algorithm
  - Definition: cuts, light edges, safe edges
  - Prim's algorithm

11/13/2023



- Like dynamic programming (DP), used to solve optimization problems.
- Problems exhibit optimal substructure (like DP).
- Problems also exhibit the **greedy-choice** property.
  - » When we have a choice to make, make the one that looks best *right now*.
  - » Make a locally optimal choice in hope of getting a globally optimal solution.

# **Greedy Strategy**

- The choice that seems best at the moment is the one we go with.
  - » Prove that when there is a choice to make, one of the optimal choices is the greedy choice. Therefore, it's always safe to make the greedy choice.
  - » Show that all but one of the subproblems resulting from the greedy choice are empty.

# Activity-selection Problem

- <u>Input:</u> Set *S* of *n* activities,  $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ .
  - »  $s_i$  = start time of activity *i*.
  - »  $f_i$  = finish time of activity *i*.
- <u>Output:</u> Subset *A* of maximum number of compatible activities.
  - » Two activities are compatible, if their intervals don't overlap.



#### Example:

<u>i</u>	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
$\underline{S}_{i}$	1	3	0	5	3	5	7	8	10	2	13
$f_{i-}$	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14

 $\{a_3, a_9, a_{11}\}$  consists of mutually compatible activities. But it is not a maximal set.

 $\{a_1, a_4, a_8, a_{11}\}$  is a largest subset of mutually compatible activities. Another largest subset is  $\{a_2, a_6, a_9, a_{11}\}$ .



greedy - 5

# **Optimal Substructure**

- Assume activities are sorted by finishing times.
  » f<sub>1</sub> ≤ f<sub>2</sub> ≤ ... ≤ f<sub>n</sub>.
- Suppose an optimal solution includes activity  $a_k$ .
  - » This generates two subproblems.
  - » Selecting from  $a_1, ..., a_{k-1}$ , activities compatible with one another, and that finish before  $a_k$  starts (compatible with  $a_k$ ).
  - » Selecting from  $a_{k+1}, ..., a_n$ , activities compatible with one another, and that start after  $a_k$  finishes.
  - » The solutions to the two subproblems must be optimal.

# **Recursive Solution**

- Let  $S_{ij}$  = subset of activities in *S* that start after  $a_i$  finishes and finish before  $a_i$  starts.
- Subproblems: Selecting maximum number of mutually compatible activities from  $S_{ij}$ .
- Let c[i, j] = size of maximum subset of mutually compatible activities in S<sub>ij</sub>.

**Recursive** Solution:  $c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } S_{ij} = \phi \\ \max_{i \le k \le j} \{c[i, k-1] + c[k+1, j] + 1\} & \text{if } S_{ij} \neq \phi \end{cases}$ 

The answer: c[1, n]Running time:  $O(n^3)$ 



# **Greedy-choice** Property

- The problem also exhibits the greedy-choice property.
  - » There is an optimal solution to the subproblem  $S_{ij}$ , that includes the activity with the smallest finish time in set  $S_{ij}$ .
  - » Can be proved easily.
- Hence, there is an optimal solution to S that includes
  a<sub>1</sub>.
- Therefore, make this greedy choice without solving subproblems first and evaluating them.
- Solve the subproblem that ensues as a result of making this greedy choice.
- Combine the greedy choice and the solution to the subproblem.

### Greedy choice property:

Assume that  $\{a_{i_1}, ..., a_{i_k}\}$  be a maximal set of compatible activities. Then,  $\{a_1, ..., a_{i_k}\}$  must be a maximum set of compatible activities.



# **Recursive Algorithm**



Straightforward to convert the algorithm to an iterative one. See the text.

greedy - 11

#### Example:



sorted sequence according to *f* values:

$$a_5 \longrightarrow a_1 \longrightarrow a_3 \longrightarrow a_6 \longrightarrow a_2 \longrightarrow a_4 \longrightarrow a_7$$

11/13/2023

$$a_5 \longrightarrow a_1 \longrightarrow a_3 \longrightarrow a_6 \longrightarrow a_2 \longrightarrow a_4 \longrightarrow a_7$$

step 1:

result =  $\{a_5\}$ . Removed all those activities not compatible with  $a_5$ .

$$a_6 \longrightarrow a_2 \longrightarrow a_4 \longrightarrow a_7$$

step 2:

result =  $\{a_5, a_6\}$ . Removed all those activities not compatible with  $a_6$ .  $a_2$ 



result =  $\{a_5, a_6, a_4\}$ .

11/13/2023

# **Typical Steps**

- Cast the optimization problem as one in which we make a choice and are left with one subproblem to solve.
- Prove that there's always an optimal solution that makes the greedy choice, so that the greedy choice is always safe.
- Show that greedy choice and optimal solution to subproblem ⇒ optimal solution to the problem.
- Make the greedy choice and **solve top-down**.
- May have to preprocess input to put it into greedy order.
  - » <u>Example:</u> Sorting activities by finish time.

# **Elements of Greedy Algorithms**

- Greedy-choice Property.
  - » A globally optimal solution can be arrived at by making a locally optimal (greedy) choice.
- Optimal Substructure.

# Minimum Spanning Trees

- Given: Connected, undirected, weighted graph, G
- Find: Minimum weight spanning tree, T
- Example:



Acyclic subset of edges(E) that connects all vertices of G.



weight of *T*:

$$w(T) = \sum_{(\overline{u}, v) \in T} w(u, v)$$

### Minimum Spanning Trees









# Generic Algorithm

- *A* subset of some Minimum Spanning tree (MST).
- "Grow" *A* by adding "safe" edges one by one.
- Edge is "safe" if it can be added to A without destroying this invariant.



*T*′may be different from *T*.

# **Definitions**

- Cut A cut (S, V S) of an undirected graph G = (V, E) is a partition of *V*.
- A cut respects a set A of edges if no edge in A crosses the cut.
- An edge is a light edge crossing a cut if its weight is the minimum of any edge crossing the cut.

cut that **respects** an edge set  $A = \{(a, b), (b, c)\}$ 



### Theorem 23.1

**Theorem 23.1:** Let (S, V - S) be any cut that respects A, and let (u, v) be a light edge crossing (S, V - S). Then, (u, v) is safe for A.

#### **Proof:**

Let *T* be an *MST* that includes *A*.

**<u>Case 1:</u>** (u, v) in *T*. We're done.

**<u>Case 2:</u>** (u, v) not in *T*. We have the following:



(x, y) (in *T*) crosses cut. Let  $T' = \{T - \{(x, y)\}\} \cup \{(u, v)\}.$ 

Because (u, v) is light for cut,  $w(u, v) \le w(x, y)$ . Thus,  $w(T') = w(T) - w(x, y) + w(u, v) \le w(T)$ .

Hence, T' is also an MST. So, (u, v) is safe for A.

greedy - 20



In general, A will consist of several connected components (CC).

**<u>Corollary</u>:** If (u, v) is a light edge connecting one CC in  $G_A = (V, A)$  to another CC in  $G_A$ , then (u, v) is safe for A.



# Kruskal's Algorithm

- Starts with each vertex in its own component.
- Repeatedly merges two components into one by choosing a light edge that connects them (i.e., a light edge crossing the cut between them).
- Scans the set of edges in monotonically increasing order by weight.
- Uses a disjoint-set data structure to determine whether an edge connects vertices in different components.

- Builds **one tree**. So *A* is always a tree.
- Starts from an arbitrary "root" *r*.
- At each step, adds a light edge crossing cut  $(V_A, V V_A)$  to A.

»  $V_A$  = vertices that A is incident on.



- implemented as a min-heap • Uses a **priority queue** *Q* to find a light edge quickly.
- Each object in Q is a vertex in V  $V_A$ .



- *key*(*v*) (key of *v* ∈ *V V<sub>A</sub>*) is minimum weight of any edge (*u*, *v*), where *u* ∈ *V<sub>A</sub>*.
- Then the vertex returned by Extract-Min operation is v such that there exists  $u \in V_A$  and (u, v) is light edge crossing  $(V_A, V V_A)$ .
- key(v) is  $\infty$  if v is not adjacent to any vertex in  $V_A$ .



Q := V[G];for each  $u \in Q$  do  $key[u] := \infty$ od; key[r] := 0; $\pi[r] := NIL;$ while  $Q \neq \emptyset$  do u := Extract-Min(Q);for each  $v \in Adi[u]$  do if  $v \in Q \land w(u, v) < key[v]$  then  $\pi[v] := u;$ key[v] := w(u, v)fi od od

#### **Complexity:**

Using binary heaps:  $O(E \lg V)$ . Initialization – O(V). Building initial queue – O(V). V Extract-Min's –  $O(V \lg V)$ . E Decrease-Key's –  $O(E \lg V)$ .

Using min-heaps:  $O(E + V \lg V)$ . (see book)

decrease-key operation

**Note:**  $A = \{(\pi[v], v) : v \in V - \{r\} - Q\}.$ 



Assume that  $u_3$  is u, chosen by the *extract-min* operation. key(v) should be changed:

 $key(v) \leftarrow \min\{key(v), w_3\}.$ 











greedy - 30

















