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## Overview

- Like dynamic programming (DP), used to solve optimization problems.
- Problems exhibit optimal substructure (like DP).
- Problems also exhibit the greedy-choice property.
» When we have a choice to make, make the one that looks best right now.
» Make a locally optimal choice in hope of getting a globally optimal solution.


## Greedy Strategy

- The choice that seems best at the moment is the one we go with.
» Prove that when there is a choice to make, one of the optimal choices is the greedy choice. Therefore, it's always safe to make the greedy choice.
» Show that all but one of the subproblems resulting from the greedy choice are empty.


## Activity-selection Problem

Input: Set $S$ of $n$ activities, $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}$.
$» s_{i}=$ start time of activity $i$.
$» f_{i}=$ finish time of activity $i$.

- Output: Subset $A$ of maximum number of compatible activities.
» Two activities are compatible, if their intervals don't overlap.

Example:
Activities in each line are compatible.

## Example:

| $i$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\underline{s}_{i}$ | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 13 |
| $f_{i}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 |

$\left\{a_{3}, a_{9}, a_{11}\right\}$ consists of mutually compatible activities.
But it is not a maximal set.
$\left\{a_{1}, a_{4}, a_{8}, a_{11}\right\}$ is a largest subset of mutually compatible activities.
Another largest subset is $\left\{a_{2}, a_{6}, a_{9}, a_{11}\right\}$.


## Optimal Substructure

- Assume activities are sorted by finishing times.

$$
» f_{1} \leq f_{2} \leq \ldots \leq f_{n} .
$$

- Suppose an optimal solution includes activity $a_{k}$.
» This generates two subproblems.
» Selecting from $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k-1}$, activities compatible with one another, and that finish before $a_{k}$ starts (compatible with $a_{k}$ ).
» Selecting from $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, activities compatible with one another, and that start after $a_{k}$ finishes.
» The solutions to the two subproblems must be optimal.


## Recursive Solution

- Let $S_{i j}=$ subset of activities in $S$ that start after $a_{i}$ finishes and finish before $a_{j}$ starts.
- Subproblems: Selecting maximum number of mutually compatible activities from $S_{i j}$.
- Let $c[i, j]=$ size of maximum subset of mutually compatible activities in $S_{i j}$.
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Recursive } \\ \text { Solution: } & c[i, j]= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } S_{i j}=\phi \\ \max _{i<k<j}\{c[i, k-1]+c[k+1, j]+1\} & \text { if } S_{i j} \neq \phi\end{cases} \end{array}$
The answer: $c[1, n]$
Running time: $\mathrm{O}\left(n^{3}\right)$



## Greedy-choice Property

- The problem also exhibits the greedy-choice property.
» There is an optimal solution to the subproblem $S_{i j}$, that includes the activity with the smallest finish time in set $S_{i j}$.
» Can be proved easily.
- Hence, there is an optimal solution to $S$ that includes $a_{1}$.
- Therefore, make this greedy choice without solving subproblems first and evaluating them.
- Solve the subproblem that ensues as a result of making this greedy choice.
- Combine the greedy choice and the solution to the subproblem.


## Greedy choice property:

Assume that $\left\{a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}$ be a maximal set of compatible activities. Then, $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}$ must be a maximum set of compatible activities.


## Recursive Algorithm

## Recursive-Activity-Selector $(s, f, i, i)$

1. $m \leftarrow i+1$
2. while $m<j$ and $s_{m}<f_{i}$
3. do $m \leftarrow m+1$
4. if $m<j$
5. then return $\left\{a_{m}\right\} \cup$

Recursive-Activity-Selector $(s, f, m, j)$

6. else return $\phi$


Initial Call: Recursive-Activity-Selector $(s, f, 0, n+1)$
Complexity: $\Theta(n)$

$$
f_{0}=0
$$

Straightforward to convert the algorithm to an iterative one. See the text.

Example:

sorted sequence according to $f$ values:

$$
a_{5} \longrightarrow a_{1} \longrightarrow a_{3} \longrightarrow a_{6} \longrightarrow a_{2} \longrightarrow a_{4} \longrightarrow a_{7}
$$

$$
a_{5} \longrightarrow a_{1} \longrightarrow a_{3} \longrightarrow a_{6} \longrightarrow a_{2} \longrightarrow a_{4} \longrightarrow a_{7}
$$

step 1:
result $=\left\{a_{5}\right\}$. Removed all those activities not compatible with $a_{5}$.

$$
a_{6} \longrightarrow a_{2} \longrightarrow a_{4} \longrightarrow a_{7}
$$

step 2:
result $=\left\{a_{5}, a_{6}\right\}$. Removed all those activities not compatible
with $a_{6}$.

$$
a_{4} \longrightarrow a_{7}
$$

step 3:


$$
\text { result }=\left\{a_{5}, a_{6}, a_{4}\right\}
$$

## Typical Steps

- Cast the optimization problem as one in which we make a choice and are left with one subproblem to solve.
- Prove that there's always an optimal solution that makes the greedy choice, so that the greedy choice is always safe.
- Show that greedy choice and optimal solution to subproblem $\Rightarrow$ optimal solution to the problem.
- Make the greedy choice and solve top-down.
- May have to preprocess input to put it into greedy order.
» Example: Sorting activities by finish time.


## Elements of Greedy Algorithms

- Greedy-choice Property.
»A globally optimal solution can be arrived at by making a locally optimal (greedy) choice.
- Optimal Substructure.


## Minimum Spanning Trees

- Given: Connected, undirected, weighted graph, $G$
- Find: Minimum - weight spanning tree, $T$
- Example:

weight of $T$ :

$$
w(T)=\sum_{(\bar{u}, v) \in T} w(u, v)
$$



## Minimum Spanning Trees



## Generic Algorithm

- $A$ - subset of some Minimum Spanning tree (MST).
- "Grow" $A$ by adding "safe" edges one by one.
- Edge is "safe" if it can be added to $A$ without destroying this invariant.

$A:=\varnothing$;
while $A$ not complete tree do find a safe edge $(u, v)$; $A:=A \cup\{(u, v)\}$
od
$T$ 'may be different from $T$.


## Definitions

- Cut - A cut $(S, V-S)$ of an undirected graph $G=(V, E)$ is a partition of $V$.
- A cut respects a set $A$ of edges if no edge in $A$ crosses the cut.
- An edge is a light edge crossing a cut if its weight is the minimum of any edge crossing the cut.
cut that respects an edge set $A=\{(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}),(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})\}$



## Theorem 23.1

Theorem 23.1: Let $(S, V-S)$ be any cut that respects $A$, and let $(u, v)$ be a light edge crossing $(S, V-S)$. Then, $(u, v)$ is safe for $A$.

## Proof:

Let $T$ be an $M S T$ that includes $A$. Case 1: $(u, v)$ in $T$. We're done. Case 2: $(u, v)$ not in $T$. We have the following:

$(x, y)$ (in $T)$ crosses cut.
Let $T^{\prime}=\{T-\{(x, y)\}\} \cup\{(u, v)\}$.
Because ( $u, v$ ) is light for cut, $w(u, v) \leq w(x, y)$. Thus, $w\left(T^{\prime}\right)=w(T)-w(x, y)+w(u, v) \leq w(T)$.

Hence, $T^{\prime}$ is also an MST.
So, $(u, v)$ is safe for $A$.

## Corollary

In general, $A$ will consist of several connected components (CC).

Corollary: If $(u, v)$ is a light edge connecting one CC in $G_{A}=(V, A)$ to another CC in $G_{A}$, then $(u, v)$ is safe for $A$.


## Kruskal's Algorithm

- Starts with each vertex in its own component.
- Repeatedly merges two components into one by choosing a light edge that connects them (i.e., a light edge crossing the cut between them).
- Scans the set of edges in monotonically increasing order by weight.
- Uses a disjoint-set data structure to determine whether an edge connects vertices in different components.


## Prim's Algorithm

- Builds one tree. So $A$ is always a tree.
- Starts from an arbitrary "root" $r$.
- At each step, adds a light edge crossing cut $\left(V_{A}, V-V_{A}\right)$ to $A$.
» $V_{A}=$ vertices that $A$ is incident on.



## Prim's Algorithm

- Uses a priority queue $Q$ to find a light edge quickly.
- Each object in $Q$ is a vertex in $V-V_{A}$.

Min-heap as a binary tree.


## Prim's Algorithm

- $\operatorname{key}(v)$ (key of $v \in V-V_{A}$ ) is minimum weight of any edge $(u, v)$, where $u \in V_{A}$.
- Then the vertex returned by Extract-Min operation is $v$ such that there exists $u \in V_{A}$ and $(u, v)$ is light edge $\operatorname{crossing}\left(V_{A}, V-V_{A}\right)$.
- $\operatorname{key}(v)$ is $\infty$ if $v$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $V_{A}$.



## Prim's Algorithm

```
Q:= V[G];
for each }u\inQ\mathrm{ do
    key[u]:= \infty
od;
key[r] := 0;
\pi[r] := NIL;
while }Q\not=\varnothing\mathrm{ do
    u := Extract-Min(Q);
    for each v\inAdj[u] do
        if v\inQ^w(u,v)<key[v] then
        \pi[v]:=u;
        key[v]:= w(u,v)
        fi
    od
od
```


## Complexity:

Using binary heaps: $O(E \lg V)$.
Initialization - $O(V)$.
Building initial queue $-O(V)$.
$V$ Extract-Min's - $O(V \lg V)$.
$E$ Decrease-Key's - $O(E \lg V)$.
Using min-heaps: $O(E+V \lg V)$.
(see book)
$\longleftarrow$ decrease-key operation
Note: $A=\{(\pi[v], v): v \in V-\{r\}-Q\}$.


Assume that $u_{3}$ is $u$, chosen by the extract-min operation. $k e y(v)$ should be changed:

$$
k e y(v) \leftarrow \min \left\{k e y(v), w_{3}\right\}
$$



## Example of Prim's Algorithm



Not in tree

$Q:=V[G] ;$
for each $u \in Q$ do
$k e y[u]:=\infty$
od;
$k e y[r]:=0$;
$\pi[r]:=N I L$;
while $Q \neq \varnothing$ do
$u:=\operatorname{Extract}-\operatorname{Min}(Q)$;
for each $v \in A d j[u]$ do
if $v \in Q \wedge w(u, v)<k e y[v]$
then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi[v]:=u ; \\
& k e y[v]:=w(u, v)
\end{aligned}
$$

fi
od
od

## Example of Prim's Algorithm
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